United States Environmental Protection Agency

Criminal Investigation Division	
Case Number Investigative Activity Report	
0800-0593	
Case Title:	Reporting Office:
Abound Solar, Inc.	Denver, CO, Area Office
Subject of Report:	Activity Date:
Interview of $^{(b)}$ $^{(b)}$ $^{(b)}$ $^{(b)}$ $^{(7)}$ and $^{(b)}$ $^{(6)}$ $^{(6)}$ $^{(6)}$	May 1, 2013
Reporting Official and Date:	Approving Official and Date:
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Special Agent	Special Agent in Charge
14-MAY-2013, Signed by: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	15-MAY-2013, Approved by: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
	Special Agent in Charge
SYNOPSIS	
On May 1, 2013, Special Agent (SA) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) inte	erviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and (b) (6), (b)
regarding their knowledge of the alleged illegal disposal of	
cadmium by representatives of Abound Solar, Inc. (Abound).	
	,
DETAILS	
On May 1, 2013, SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interviewed (c) (6), (b) (7)(C) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d	
Compliance and Enforcement Office, regarding their kno	
ionic resin material contaminated with cadmium by repre	
place at the CDPHE office building located at 4300 Chern	ry Creek Drive South, Denver, Colorado.
The reporting A gent had prayiously identified himself to	(b) (6), (b) and (b) (6). After discussing the
The reporting Agent had previously identified himself to $\frac{(b)}{(7)}(6)$ and $\frac{(b)}{(6)}(7)$. After discussing the nature of the interview, $\frac{(b)}{(6)}(6)$ and $\frac{(b)}{(6)}(6)$ provided the below summarized information:	
that are of the interview, (7)(C)	
advised that (b) advised that (c) conducted an inspection of the Abound facility in Longmont, Colorado	
on March 2, 2010. During the inspection, was told by Abound representatives that the	
wastewater did not exhibit the toxicity characteristic for c	
system had not yet been installed. (b) (6), (b) was also told	•
not in use and that Abound was using Clean Harbors to sl	
waste because they wanted to be extra conservative. SA	
ionic resin tanks used by Abound, and (b) (6), (b) advised (attachment). (b) (6), (b) also stated that during (c) inspec	tions of the Abound facility (b) navor
talked to (b) (6) (c) (c) talked to (b) (6) (d) (d) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e	tions of the Abound facility, never
, former racinges wanager for Ac	ound.
advised that in a liquid sample, a total analysis is like a Toxic Characteristic Leaching	
Procedure (TCLP) result. (b) (6), (b) added that (b) did no	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

analytical results because it seemed as if Abound was being conservative in their hazardous waste prior to analysis in a TCLP and that a dilution step is already built into the TCLP (attachment).

explained that Prime Star, a solar panel company that also uses cadmium in their

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA. It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

OCETForm 3-01 (01/10) Page 1 of 2

United States Environmental Protection Agency Criminal Investigation Division Investigative Activity Report

Case Number

0800-0593

manufacturing process, uses ion exchange resins similar to Abound. Star's premise for TCLP on their ion exchange resin was based off of the assumption that once the column was spent, it would contain high levels of metals. Officer advised that Abound would not need approval from CDPHE as long as they (Abound) had a zero discharge permit with the local POTW. Policy explained that with a 307 B discharge permit or a 402 National Pollutant Elimination Discharge System permit, the regulations transfer over to the Clean Water Act instead of RCRA. SA Officer showed for a copy of a letter from Abound regarding the treatment and recovery of their wastewater (attachment). Stated that he remembers having a conversation about these rules with an employee of Abound, but never recalled seeing a letter from Abound regarding reclamation of their wastewaters.

stated that it is up to the generator to make sure the sample is representative of their process. If a company is using a bench top model for sample analysis and to represent that wastes from their full scale production are not hazardous, then the CDPHE would probably make the company do a hazardous waste determination on the full scale production process to determine if their waste is hazardous. (a) (b) (c) advised that under the explained circumstances, Abound's ion exchange columns were regulated as characteristic wastes. If the ion exchange resins were reclaimed, then Abound would not need to ship or manifest them as hazardous waste. (b) (c) stated that because Culligan Water Conditioning (Culligan) was dumping the ion exchange resin, it is subject to full regulation under RCRA.

ATTACHMENT

Abound Ion Exchange Resin Tank Photograph.

TCLP Analytical Results: Abound Resin Material.

Abound Letter to Ed (5) (6).

Summary of Treatment and Recovery of Wastewater.

Agreement Letter.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA.

It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

OCETForm 3- 01 (01/10) Page 2 of 2